

| | | | |
|---|------------------------|-----------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/063,962 | BRUCE, ROBERT WILLIAM | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Jennifer C. McNeil | 1775 | |

All Participants:

(1) Jennifer C. McNeil.

(2) Gary Hartman.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 27 January 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

1,9,14

Prior art documents discussed:

Zhu

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Agreed to amend the claims to reflect "consisting of" with regard to the overall coating to overcome Zhu.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)